




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06C	A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/11477 (43) International Publication Date: 19 March 1998 (19.03.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/NZ97/00106 (22) International Filing Date: 29 August 1997 (29.08.97) (30) Priority Data: 286393 29 August 1996 (29.08.96) NZ 328559 15 August 1997 (15.08.97) NZ (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: FETHERSTON, Denis (NZ/NZ); 18 Hamish Place, Pakuranga, Auckland 1706 (NZ). (74) Agents: WEST-WALKER, Gregory, James et al.; Russell McVcagh West-Walker, The Todd Building, Level 5, 171- 177 Lambton Quay, Wellington 6001 (NZ).	(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i>	

(54) Title: AN AUTOMATED MAINTENANCE SYSTEM

COMPLIANCE MANAGER
MAIN MENU

(57) Abstract

The invention comprises a system for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes, the system comprising a plurality of modules relating to particular legislative or other obligations with which the organisation is required to comply; at least one master database containing information on said legislative or other compliance obligations; at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on activities of and incidents or accidents in the organisation and assessments of the organisation; and report generating means for generating a report on any action required to render the organisation compliant with the legislative or other requirements in the master database.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

AN AUTOMATED MAINTENANCE SYSTEM

FIELD

The invention comprises a system and method for assisting the implementation and
5 maintenance of compliance programmes required by legislation or established through
management objectives for the benefit of the organisation.

BACKGROUND

10 Programmes to ensure compliance with various obligations placed on industry are
driven by the legislation which places compliance obligations upon businesses, such
as legislation concerning the protection of people, the environment, shareholders,
equities and other business activities for example. Occupational health and safety
legislation generally places the responsibility for the management of health and safety
15 in the workplace in the hands of employers and their staff. This responsibility
includes the prevention of harm to an employee by identifying and isolating hazards
and where practical taking action to control, eliminate, and/or minimise hazards. The
overall objective is to reduce workplace injuries, accidents, illnesses and fatalities
through an effective health and safety management system.

20

Employers are generally required by law to provide an auditable trail of their actions
that is sufficiently transparent to show they have an effective management programme
which includes for example hazard identification, appropriate training and supervision
of staff, recording details of all accidents and periodically reporting accidents to the
25 appropriate investigative authority, establishing emergency procedures, and
management of principals, contractors and subcontractors.

Similar legislative requirements apply to management of the effects a plant may have on the environment, and how under company law a company manages its shareholders assets.

- 5 Management within an organisation may also establish compliance programs within the organisation aimed at meeting a management objective within the organisation, for example achieving and implementing procedures for ISO accreditation and implementation, particularly ISO 14001 and ISO 9001.
- 10 Many businesses are not able to manage the vast number of scenarios that can arise and certainly cannot manage these in a "real time mode". Compliance may be required under legislation covering companies, health and safety, consumer protection, electricity, fair trading, human rights, privacy, environmental management, property, and land transport legislation. Risk management programs often tend to be reactive
- 15 and address issues randomly. Many companies are not meeting the minimum required standards of compliance. This is partly due to a lack of commitment and understanding as to how to manage compliance issues, and the inability to be proactive towards the handling of the vast number of issues involved.
- 20 Many organisations have implemented paper and/or data based compliance programmes, however these are not fully integrated and fully comprehensive. For example, to meet New Zealand Health and Safety Act (NZHSE Act) compliance requirements often each department of a company is supplied with a resource kit containing information on hazard identification, tools for hazard assessment, a serious
- 25 harm schedule, information on how hazards are to be controlled, and forms to record the department hazard assessment. The intention is that each department record its

own hazard identification on forms kept in that department's kit or in the system in some form. This type of programme, although adequate for each department if it is kept up to date, has proved inefficient for overall control as it is difficult to continue on an ongoing basis to identify and assess significant hazards, because this activity is

5 not a natural part of the core operational business. It is also difficult to cross-reference hazards and control measures between departments or with others affected by hazards such as contractors.

For example, one department may engage in the activity of storing oil. This one activity

10 may have many risk-causing aspects such as being stored near water or heat. Each of these aspects have a number of results which may occur if an event occurs such as environmental damage to waterways and wildlife through oil seepage, and injury or death to employees in the event of an explosion. Each effect has a number of controls involving recovery procedures and plans to minimise the risk.

15

For a department to ensure compliance it must implement all the necessary controls for a particular activity. This involves cross-referencing each activity to related aspects, effects and controls. In general a paper based system or conventional data based system is unable to assist in this process. The conventional interrelated data

20 base approach is also unable to handle these requirements because of the large amount of data entry required and large number of controls for each activity. An exponentially increasing number of relationships between tables and other components of the database must be set up in advance by the database designer in such prior art systems.

25

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

An object of the invention is to provide an improved system and method for assisting the implementation and maintenance of a compliance programme.

5

In broad terms the invention comprises a system for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes, the system comprising a plurality of modules relating to particular legislative or other obligations with which the organisation is required to comply; at least one master database containing
10 information on said legislative or other compliance obligations; at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on activities of and incidents or accidents in the organisation and assessments of the organisation; and report generating means for generating a report on any action required to render the organisation compliant with the legislative or other requirements in the master
15 database.

In a further aspect the invention comprises a computer-implemented method for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes, comprising the steps of providing a plurality of modules relating to
20 legislative or other obligations with which the organisation must comply; providing at least one master database containing information on said legislative or other compliance obligations; providing at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on activities of and incidents or accidents in, and assessments of the organisation; retrieving data from the slave database about the organisation;
25 retrieving legislative or other compliance requirements from the master database; and

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A preferred form of the method and system for assisting the implementation of a compliance programme will now be described by way of example and without intending
5 to be limiting, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a preferred embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 illustrates the main menu screen of the preferred form system;

10

Figure 3 shows the menu screen of one module of the system;

Figure 4 details one option from the menu screen of Figure 3;

15 Figure 5 shows the menu screen of another module of the system;

Figure 6 shows one option from the menu screen of Figure 5;

Figure 7 shows another option from the menu screen of Figure 5;

20

Figure 8 illustrates the navigation function of the invention;

Figure 9 also illustrates the navigation function;

25 Figure 10 illustrates a prior art data structure arrangement; and

Figure 11 illustrates the data structure of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED FORM

5 Figure 2 shows one form of the invention. The system has a CPU (central processing unit) 2, a memory 4, and interface circuits 6 and 8, these four components being connected to each other by a system bus 10. The interface circuit 6 is connected to an input device 12, (for example a keyboard and mouse) and a display device 14. Interface circuit 8 is connected to a storage unit 16, such as a hard disk or floppy disk
10 drive. Data input from the input device 12 is supplied to the CPU 2 via interface circuit 6 and system bus 10. Display data is supplied from CPU 2 to the display device 14 via the system bus 10 and interface circuit 6.

Preferably the invention is implemented on a PC having at least 16 MB RAM and
15 operating system of Microsoft Windows 3.11, Windows 95, Windows 97, Memphis or Windows NT. Preferably Microsoft ACCESS has been installed on the storage unit 16 together with data and appropriate programmed software to support the invention. Alternatively the invention is installed on storage unit 16 as a stand alone application independent of Microsoft Access. Preferably storage unit 16 also has installed
20 spreadsheet and word processing software, for example Microsoft Excel, AMIPRO, LOTUS and Microsoft Word.

Components 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 14 and 16 may be set up as a stand-alone computer or may be connected to further components in a network. Networks may be of any type, for
25 example internet, intranet, local area and wide area networks. The system may

therefore be implemented on separate networked computers accessible from all or selected levels of an organisation.

Figure 2 illustrates the main menu of the preferred form system shown on display device 14. Using input device 12 a user may access as menu options a Health and Safety Manager module, an Environmental Manager module, a Property Manager module, a Staff Manager module, and a Training Manager module. Each module is related to a particular piece of legislation with which an organisation must comply. Any system may include more or less or other similar modules, such as further modules related to various other pieces of legislation or modules relating to management established objectives and procedures with which compliance is to be monitored, for example ISO accreditation and compliance.

The Health and Safety Manager module, accessible as an option from the main menu, is directed to compliance with occupational health and safety legislation under which organisations must typically establish effective methods for identifying and managing existing, new and potential instances of occupational overuse syndrome (OOS) in the workplace and regularly review these to see whether the instances of OOS are significant and require further action.

Figure 3 shows the Health and Safety Manager module user screen presented to the user on display device 14. This screen displays a plurality of sub-modules which may be selected by the user with input device 12. Some of the sub-modules comprise text documents, for example the Training Document Library, the Procedures Document Library and the General Document Library. These allow various documents (for example on-line manuals on compliance requirements, standards and guidelines) to

be stored in storage unit 16 and memory 4 for display on display unit 14 when selected by the user. The libraries may be preloaded on to storage unit 16 and preferably a user may also store further information in storage unit 16 based on operational experience to build up comprehensive information on the organisation's
5 compliance needs.

The Libraries form part of a conceptual master database of information, which may actually be implemented either as a single database in storage unit 16, or a plurality of linked databases installed on storage unit 16, or a plurality of databases installed
10 on a plurality of storage units. The master database is in contrast to a conceptual slave database which may be installed on one or many storage units in the same way as the master database. The slave database is used to record information about an organisation entered by the user. This information includes activities, aspects, effects and controls.

15

The invention preferably makes provision for supplementing the master database by the entry of compliance criteria data into master databases from company records and the user's experience as situations arise and policy and legislative requirements are imposed. For example an environmental compliance requirement driven by legislation
20 and/or company policy has definable criteria and rules.

Another sub-module of the Health and Safety module, as shown in Figure 3, is the Hazard Register. Using the input device 12, the user may select the Hazard Register which results in the form shown in Figure 4 being presented on display device 14. The
25 Hazard Register permits the user to store in the slave database information about hazards in an organisation. A hazard may have been identified in a number of ways.

for example an accident, an incident, a hazard or peril assessment, an audit or an observation. As shown in Figure 4 the user is presented with a comprehensive data entry facility, eliciting information such as the particular division of the organisation, a hazard description, hazard assessment and hazard isolation and so forth.

5

A common hazard in an office environment is the risk to computer operators of OOS. This hazard is prevented by the use of appropriate office furniture and sensible work rules for example mandatory rests, and by training on correct posture. A portable or mounted digital camera may capture images of an operator's work station. Digital
10 photographs of operator posture and work station ergonomics can then be loaded into the slave database of the Health and Safety Manager of the slave database and compared with a correct example from the master database.

The Hazard Register form allows users to enter data in a plurality of formats. One
15 example is the use of a list-box or combo-box which retrieve a set of values from the master database for the user to select one value. For example, if the user selects the Hazard Source field in which to enter data, the Hazard Register form presents a number of alternatives from which the user may select a particular hazard source retrieved from the master database. Other formats which may be used include boxes
20 in which text, numerals, currency values, true/false options and or OLE formats such as text documents. The Hazard Register form includes programmed macro software which ensures that the correct type of data is entered into each box. For example, the Hazard Register form includes macro software to ensure that a currency value is entered into the Control Cost box.

25

The Hazard Register, by way of example, forces the user to follow a process and pattern of data entry which ensures that relevant data is captured, as the relevant data must be entered by the user when viewing the Hazard Register on display device 14. As the user must in some cases select data from a list retrieved from the master
5 database, this ensures that the data is meaningful. Screen displays show where action is required and reports on priority issues.

Following the steps on the various risk assessment forms, the invention retrieves data by searching through the fields in the master databases. This searching may be
10 implemented in a number of ways, for example macro code to populate a list-box or combo-box, or code to quick-find keywords in a section of text or form. Alternatively the invention may comprise specific macro code segments for searching other components of the invention.

15 Data entered into the form is stored in the slave database installed in the storage unit 16 to form a profile and assessment criteria for the circumstance or activity being assessed.

The invention also includes a risk assessment means which constantly compares data
20 in the slave database about events such as activities, incidents, accidents and assessments with compliance criteria from the master database. By way of example, the Hazard Register includes Severity and Frequency boxes. The user selects a value for the Severity box from a set of criteria retrieved from the master database. Preferably the range of values is from 1 (not severe) to 4 (very severe). The user also
25 selects a value for the Frequency box from a range of values, preferably 1 (infrequent) to 5 (very frequent).

The invention determines a numerical priority or risk assessment rating as the product of severity and frequency, and places the rating in the rating box. The rating is assigned to the activity, incident, accident or assessment and stored in the slave database. The invention includes programmed macros which bring to the attention of the user hazards which exceed a certain rating and labels these hazards as significant. Preferably hazards with a risk assessment rating above a threshold of 10 are flagged as significant. The user may specify the threshold value, enabling an organisation to concentrate first on high priority hazards by specifying a high threshold, then lowering the threshold to concentrate on lower priority hazards. Hazards flagged as significant preferably are continually brought to the attention of the user until all actions and performance criteria are met. Preferably the invention may produce reports detailing particular hazards at specific rating thresholds.

Figure 5 shows the user screen for another module, the Environmental Manager module. This module comprises a number of sub-modules including a Training Document Library, Procedures Document Library, and General Procedures Library which contain information on environmental compliance requirements, and standards imposed by environmental protection legislation, and guidelines for compliance with the legislation. The data in the master database may be preloaded or may be input by the user based on operational experience to build up comprehensive information on the organisation's compliance needs.

Again the Environmental Manager module provides the user with forms with which to update the slave data base containing data about events within the organisation such as environmental incidents and assessment reports. The slave database may be

updated using a number of sub-modules including Environmental Risk Investigation, Environmental Risk Identification, Employee Training etc.

The master database and slave database associated with the Environmental Manager
5 Module operate in the same way as in the Health and Safety Manager module
previously described. Figure 6 shows the form displayed on the input device 12 for
the Environmental Risk Investigation module. As shown, the invention elicits
information from the user on environmental risks in the same way as for Health and
Safety Hazards as described above.

10

The Environmental Manager Module includes an Environmental Risk Identification
form as shown in Figure 7. The form includes an Open Hazard Register box, thereby
reminding the user that identification of an environmental risk requires further input
as to hazards. Once the user selects, using the input device 12, the Hazard Register,
15 the invention automatically traverses to the Hazard Register shown in Figure 4 ready
for input by the user. This traversal is implemented by a program macro triggered by
a click-event.

From the Environmental Risk Identification form the user is also able to traverse
20 directly to the Accident Register form and the Environmental Risk Investigation form.
If an actual incident occurs and is entered in the system, for example into the Hazard
Register form, the system automatically traverses to the Accident/Incident Register
shown in Figure 3 where an assessment is made about the harm or potential harm of
the incident.

25

The system then automatically traverses to the Employee Training Register where details of training are entered and compares these against training criteria obtained from the Training Document Library in the master database. Details of the training criteria are attached to the personal training record for the person involved. The
5 system then traverses the personal record to input health assessment data required by law and prepares the health assessment routines required for the persons involved. The traversing function is continued to include hazard management for property and the environment, thereby providing a comprehensive model of management, compliance and control over individual situations.

10

This traversal is provided by a navigator, the operation of which is illustrated in Figures 8 and 9. The navigator guides the user on a step-by-step basis on the actions necessary to implement compliance management procedures, through the system and through wider networks to other systems. Other systems include other software
15 packages for example spreadsheet and word processing software and other databases. By use of the navigator, the user is able to efficiently select data and criteria for assessment from the master database of a module and attach it to the compliance issue. This navigation and assembly allows efficient and accurate compilation of data which ranges from two digit numerical ratings to billion bit data objects. The navigator
20 may operate on an area wide network or any such networking system enabling anyone within an organisation to use and interact with the system making compliance transparent at all levels of an organisation.

The navigator uses its tools to locate, import and attach virtually unlimited types and
25 amounts of data relating to compliance in the master database and attaches this information directly to each circumstance, activity and entity for entry into the slave

database. This technique is used to enable a conventional office and company computer based administration programme to interface with the compliance management system. Documents, reports, spreadsheets, drawings, pictures and any other form of OLE based objects relating to compliance management generated
5 throughout an organisation are captured by the navigator and stored in the appropriate location. This information is stored in master and slave databases used to support the management criteria stored in the program.

Prior art systems of this kind use relationships which are specified by the database
10 designer when the system is implemented. An example of a prior art system is illustrated in Figure 10. In conventional database design, relationships between tables are implemented by first identifying the fields in the tables, then setting relationships between fields using manual drag and drop actions or relationship building tools such as WIZARD. Once these relationships have been formed the relational boundaries
15 cannot be transgressed and the relational aspects of the database design constrain use outside predefined relational criteria.

Defining relationships between tables may be difficult due to the complexity of the data represented. For example, each organisation may have a number of activities in which
20 they may engage. Each activity may have a number of aspects and each of these aspects may in turn have many effects. Each effect has a number of controls involving plans and recovery procedures to minimise the risk. The exponential number of controls needed for a single activity places significant demands on conventional database designs. A single organisation may have many departments and employees. Individual
25 employees may have accidents in departments other than the one in which they work. Other individuals who are not employees at all of the organisation may have accidents

in one or more departments. The present invention permits implementation of a database design in which the data is not placed in tables with predefined relationships between tables to handle these situations.

- 5 The system of the invention shown in Figure 11 is designed with a special relational structure allowing relationships to be dynamically created and destroyed when required by the navigator.

Macros operate to make and abandon relationships which enable the relational
10 boundaries associated with tables and queries to be traversed. The macros locate where data is physically located and what action is to be taken on it. This is essential for all tables containing compliance on such issues as health and safety of people, environment, property etc to be cross referenced so as relevant scenarios for each assessment can be compiled in the slave database tables.

15

Relationships between the various fields in the databases are created in the source code and switch on and off using macro functions triggered by Windows events such as click, double-click, mouse-down, mouse-move etc. This allows the macro functions to be executed when the user opens and closes the related database access forms and
20 operating tools such as list-box and combo-boxes in the forms enabling unlimited combination of data from the fields in the master database to be assembled in the slave database.

By way of example, a department has a one to many relation with its staff (a
25 department has many staff). However staff can have accidents in many departments which causes a conflict with this one to many relationship. The source code contains

a macro that disables the relationship between the department and its staff. All staff in each department are identified and this information is made available to the user so that the user may select the staff member who has had an accident and place all relevant information about that staff member in the slave database as a record of the
5 accident even if that person is not part of the department. This enables the many cross relationships necessary to operate the compliance program.

This macro assisted handling of relationships provides the system with greater flexibility than has previously been possible, as there are no constraints on the types
10 of relationships which may be made between various components of the system. It also means that the modules need not be stored in a rigid data format imposed by the database designer, which may render some modules incompatible and unable to interact.

15 A consequence of the design of the invention means that additional modules may be incorporated into the system at a later date without substantial modification. The types of permissible modules and sub-modules may range from simple forms to complex application program. This enables the use of a comprehensive number of software applications to interact to capture and present the data.

20

In summary the operation of the system of the invention is as follows:

1. The program makes provision for the entry of compliance criteria data into Master databases from company records and experience as situations arise
25 and policy and legislative requirements are imposed.

2. Following the steps on the various risk assessment forms, the program searches through the fields in the master databases to match criteria from the master databases records with the activity being assessed.
- 5 3. This data is lodged in the fields of the slave databases to form a profile and assessment criteria for the circumstance or activity being assessed.
- 10 4. Relationships between the various fields in these databases are created in the source code and switch on and off using macro functions when opening and closing the related database access forms and operating tools such as list and combo-box icons enabling an unlimited combination of data from the fields in the master database to be assembled in the slave databases.
- 15 5. The scenarios are rated through a process of questions and answers and the selected priority issues above a criteria of 10 are reported on. Issues in the priority categories remain before management for action until all performance criteria are met.
- 20 6. The program combines and matches compliance requirements that transverse many areas of compliance areas and inter related issues by combining data and criteria from any part of the databases, computer and network systems. Computer and network navigation and functioning is facilitated by the navigator system.

7. The navigator uses its tools to locate, import and attach virtually unlimited types and amounts of data relating to compliance and attaches this information directly to each circumstance, activity and entity.
- 5 Using data from the various modules, the system may produce management reports including accident records together with statistics to assist an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes. The management reports may include information about hazard management programmes, workplace control procedures and checklists. Generation of these reports permits action to be
- 10 taken by the organisation to render it compliant with the legislative requirements in the master databases.

The foregoing describes the invention including preferred forms thereof. Alterations and modifications as will be obvious to those skilled in the art are intended to be

15 incorporated within the scope hereof, as defined in the accompanying claims.

CLAIMS:

1. A system for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes, the system comprising:
 - 5 a plurality of modules relating to particular legislative or other obligations with which the organisation is required to comply;
 - at least one master database containing information on said legislative or other compliance obligations;
 - at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on
 - 10 activities of and incidents or accidents in the organisation and assessments of the organisation; and
 - report generating means for generating a report on any action required to render the organisation compliant with the legislative or other requirements in the master database.
- 15 2. A system according to claim 1 further comprising risk assessment means interfaced to assign a priority rating to the activity, incident, accident or assessment in the slave database.
- 20 3. A system according to claim 2 wherein the report generating means compares the priority rating of each activity, incident, accident or assessment and generates a report on all activities, incidents, accidents or assessments above a predefined threshold.

4. A system according to claim 3 wherein the predefined threshold may be altered, thereby allowing an organisation to successively lower the threshold as high priority activities, incidents, accidents or assessments are addressed.
- 5 5. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein relationships within the master database and the slave database are dynamically created and destroyed as required.
6. A system according to any one of the preceding claims arranged to guide a
10 user on actions necessary to implement compliance management procedures.
7. A system according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the master database is able to be updated by a user with additional compliance requirements based on the user's operational experience.
- 15 8. A computer-implemented method for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes, comprising the steps of:
- providing a plurality of modules relating to legislative or other obligations with which the organisation must comply;
- 20 providing at least one master database containing information on said legislative or other compliance obligations;
- providing at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on activities of and incidents or accidents in, and assessments of the organisation;
- 25 retrieving data from the slave database about the organisation;

retrieving legislative or other compliance requirements from the master database; and

generating a report on action to be taken to render the organisation compliant with the legislative or other compliance requirements in the master database.

5

9. A method according to claim 8 further comprising the step of assigning to the activities, incidents, accidents or assessments a numerical priority rating and storing the priority rating in the slave database.

10 10. A method according to claim 9 further comprising the steps of retrieving from the slave database a priority rating of the activities, incidents, accidents or assessments and generating a report on all activities, incidents, accidents or assessments above a predefined threshold.

15 11. A method according to claim 10 including providing means to alter the predefined threshold, thereby allowing an organisation to successively lower the threshold as high priority activities, incidents, accidents or assessments are addressed.

20 12. A method according to any one of claims 8 to 11 including the steps of dynamically creating and destroying relationships within the master database and the slave database as required.

13. A method according to any one of claims 8 to 12 including the step of guiding the user on the actions necessary to implement compliance management procedures.

25

14. A method according to any one of claims 8 to 13 including the step of providing means for the user to update the master database with additional compliance requirements based on the user's operational experience.




FIG 1

2/11

COMPLIANCE MANAGER MAIN MENU




FIG 2

3 / 11

HEALTH AND SAFETY MANAGER MODULE 1

Serious Harm Accident Register	Hazard Register	Employee Training Register
Accident Reports	Hazard Reports	Training Reports
Accident/Incident Register	Hazardous Machinery Compliance	Employee Information
Training Document Library	Procedures Document Library	General Document Library
Quick Find	Quick Find	Quick Find
Compliance Management Reports	Step by Step Guide	Contractor Management

FIG 3

4 / 11

Hazard Register


<div style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px; margin: 0 5px;">Add New Hazard</div> <div style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 10px; margin: 0 5px;">Find Hazard</div>	
Division: <u>Pastoral Foods (NZ) L</u>	Hazard Number: <u>3080</u>
Department: <u>Specialty Products</u>	Date: <u>21-Feb-97</u>
Location Area: <u>Extruder</u>	
Hazard Image/Description - Insert a picture or text object describing the hazard:	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Select Entry Type</div>	
Hazard: <u>Heat</u>	Environmental Hazard: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hazard Source: <u>Heat radiated from c</u>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: 100px; margin: auto;"> Open Environmental Compliance Register </div>
Work Analysis: <u></u>	
Process: <u>Filling cartons</u>	
Occupation: <u>Process line operator</u>	
Risk Factor - Explain reasons "why" events or conditions arise:	<u>Skin exposed to radiated heat from molten cheese</u>
Hazard Assessed: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Severity: <u>3</u>	
Frequency: <u>4</u>	
Severity x Frequency = Priority/Risk Assessment rating:	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin: 2px;">Significant</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin: 2px;">Not Significant</div>
Hazard Isolation - Explain how the hazard has been minimised or controlled:	<u>Review heat effects on staff operating on the production line</u>
Hazard Elimination - Explain how the hazard is to be eliminated if this is possible:	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 60px; width: 100%;"></div>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">Select Entry Type</div>	
Control Cost: <u>\$0.00</u>	

FIG 4

5/11

**ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER
MODULE 2**

Environmental Risk Investigation	Environmental Risk Identification	Employee Training Register
Incident Reports	Environmental Reports	Training Reports
Environmental Manual	Environmental Compliance Register	Employee Information
Training Document Library	Procedures Document Library	General Procedures Library
Quick Find	Quick Find	Quick Find
Compliance Management Reports	Step by Step Guide	Contractor Management

FIG 5

ENVIRONMENTAL RISK INVESTIGATION

Activity ID:	1
Division:	Westwood
Activity:	jfjfjfjf
Aspect:	Bob Bill Bob Bill Bob Bill
Effect:	leh8 leh8 leh8 Blah de Blah , Fa
Priority:	15

Date Initiated:

Location: East

Operational Area: Product Assembly Record ID Number: 1

Driver - Legislation/Statute	Hazardous Chemicals
------------------------------	---------------------

Operational Criteria	Trade Wastes , Emissions to Land , Emissions to Land , Emissions to Land ,
----------------------	--

Reporting Requirements	rttrtrt ,hjhhjhjhj ,Internal Periodic ,Internal Periodic ,
------------------------	--

Monitoring Requirements	Statutory Water, Company Objectives, Divisional Objectives,
-------------------------	---

Special Procedures	Hazchem decant 00234 , Subsp007 , Subsp012 ,
--------------------	--

Regulatory Body	Regional Authority , External Ak ,
-----------------	------------------------------------

Enviornmental Area Affected	lehB lehB lehB Blah de Blah , Fauna , Land , Noise , East
-----------------------------	---

Operating Procedure: Import Text or Picture Object

Operating Procedure:
Type text directly into
window.

Procedure Library Reference	Forktruck Driving
-----------------------------	-------------------

Non Compliance: ☒

Action Required: N/A

Assigned Responsibility: Supervisor

Action Date:

Review Date:

FIG 6

7/11

Environmental Risk Identification

Add New Record

Division: Marketing Permit Issue: ☐
 Department: 808 Incident: ☐
 Location Area: Workshop ID Number: 11
 Activity: Storing Oil Date: 28-Jul-97
 ISO 14001 Ref: Environmental Management Systems Documentation

Activity Description:
Enter Documents or
Photographic Objects

Enter Object

Environmental Aspects: Storage in open tanks Storage in open tanks Storage in open tanks
 Environmental Effects: Air Community Water Airgkgkgkg
 How Severe is the Effect: 4
 How Frequently does this Aspect Occur: 5
 Environmental Risk Priority: 20 The Priority is the Product of Severity and Frequency.
 Estimated Cost to Control the Effect: \$2,000.0 Priority Date: 01-Jul-97

Go to the Hazard Register to enter hazard data.

Open Hazard Register

Hazard Number

3196

Were there any injuries? If yes, complete an accident or incident investigation form.

Open Accident Register

If risk priority is above 10 go to the Environmental Risk Investigation input form.

Open Environmental Risk Investigation

Division: _____ Permit Issue: ☐
 Department: _____ Incident: ☐
 Location Area: _____ ID Number: 12
 Activity: _____ Date: 28-Jul-97

FIG 7




FIG 8

9/11





FIG. 9

10/11
PRIOR ART



100,000,000

FIG. 10

11/11

Hasirman Database Design

Relational Database relationship boundaries are switched off and on as required





FIG 11



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06F 17/30		A3	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/11477
			(43) International Publication Date: 19 March 1998 (19.03.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/NZ97/00106		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: 29 August 1997 (29.08.97)			
(30) Priority Data: 286393 29 August 1996 (29.08.96) NZ 328559 15 August 1997 (15.08.97) NZ			
(71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: FETHERSTON, Denis [NZ/NZ]; 18 Hamish Place, Pakuranga, Auckland 1706 (NZ).			
(74) Agents: WEST-WALKER, Gregory, James et al.; Russell McVeagh West-Walker, The Todd Building, Level 5, 171-177 Lambton Quay, Wellington 6001 (NZ).		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 16 July 1998 (16.07.98)	

(54) Title: AN AUTOMATED MAINTENANCE SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

The invention comprises a system for assisting an organisation to implement and maintain compliance management programmes (Fig. 1), the system comprising a plurality of modules relating to particular legislative or other obligations either of which the organisation is required to comply (Fig. 2); at least one master database containing information on said legislative or other compliance obligations; at least one slave database containing and allowing entry of information on activities of and incidents or accidents in the organisation and assessments of the organisation (Fig. 8); and report generating means for generating a report on any action required to render the organisation compliant with the legislative or other requirements on the master database.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/NZ97/00106

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 6-7 and 13-14
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(ai).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/NZ97/00106

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G06F 17/30

US CL : 707/1-10, 102; 705/2, 4

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 707/1-10, 102; 705/2, 4

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary; Third Edition

Microsoft Access User's Guide, Version 2.0, 1994

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4,864,497 A (LOWRY ET AL) 05 September 1989, Column 5, lines 33-42 and 47-50, column 9, lines 23-38, column 10, lines 16-26, column 11, lines 3-25 and lines 47-57	1-5, 8-12

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 FEBRUARY 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

13 MAR 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

THOMAS G. BLACK

Telephone No. (703) 305-9707